# Compiling Esterel into Static Discrete-Event Code

Stephen A. Edwards Columbia University Computer Science Department New York, USA sedwards@cs.columbia.edu Vimal Kapadia and Michael Halas IBM Poughkeepsie NY USA vimal@kapadia.us <u>michael@halas.us</u>

Presented by Michael Halas

**SLAP 2004** 

# CEC

- •Compiles Esterel into very efficient C code
- •Minimizes runtime overhead
  - •Compile time
  - •Runtime

#### An Example

#### Modeling a shared resource

#### Input I,S ; Output O,Q;









# The GRC Representation

Developed by Potop-Butucaru







#### await I; weak abort sustain R when immediate A; emit O



### Clustering

- 1. Group the GRC nodes into clusters that can run without interruption
- 2. Assign levels Partial Ordering

Levels execute in order

Clusters within the same level can execute in any order

### Clustering

- 1. Group the GRC nodes into clusters that can run without interruption
- 2. Assign levels Partial Ordering

Levels execute in order – Compile Time

Clusters within the same level can execute in any order

### Clustering

- 1. Group the GRC nodes into clusters that can run without interruption
- 2. Assign levels Partial Ordering

Levels execute in order – Compile Time

Clusters within the same level can execute in any order - Runtime

































## Running A Cycle

Linked list structure with nothing scheduled



Schedule cluster 2 in the empty structure next2 = head1, head1 = &&C2



# Schedule cluster 2 to the empty structure *next2 = head1*, *head1 = &&C2*



#### Schedule cluster 2 to the empty structure next2 = head1, head1 = &&C2



## **Experimental Results**

#### Five medium sized examples

- •Potop-Butucaru's grc2c
  - Beats us on four of the five examples
    We are substantially faster on the largest example
- •SAXO-RT compiler

•We are faster on the three largest examples

Most closely resembles SAXO-RT

Basic blocks

- Sorted topologically
- •Executed based on run-time scheduling decisions

•Two main differences:

•Only schedule blocks within the current cycle

 Linked list that eliminates conditional test instead of a scoreboard Time in seconds to execute 1 000 000 iterations of the generated code on a 1.7 GHz Pentium 4.



The height of the bars indicates the time in seconds. (Shorter is better)

# C/L: Clusters Per Level

The higher C/L the better



# Conclusion

- Results in improved running times over an existing compiler that uses a similar technique (SAXO-RT)
- Faster than the fastest-known compiler in the largest example (Potop-Butucaru's)

Source and object code for the compiler described in this presentation is freely available as part of the Columbia Esterel Compiler distribution available from:

http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~sedwards/cec/