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The origins

What are the relationships between:

- Kahn Process Networks
- Synchronous Data-flow Programming (e.g., Lustre)
- (Lazy) Functional Programming (e.g., Haskell)
- Types and Clocks
- State machines and stream functions

What can we learn from the relationships between synchronous and functional programming?
The first intuitions

In 1993 (I was still doing my PhD thesis in Rocquencourt...) Paul noticed the relationships between functional programming and synchronous programming in two papers:

- **Lucid Synchrone** [Caspi, OPOPAC 93],
- **Towards Recursive Block Diagrams** [Caspi, RTP 94]

He made the following observations:

- Synchronous dataflow can be simulated in a functional lazy language in a few lines (LazyML at that time).
- We can program in the semantics.
- We can benefit from all the features of the host language: type inference, modularity, higher-order, recursion.
- with the idea that extending Lustre with functional features was both natural and fruitful
module Streams where

-- lifting constants
constant x = x : (constant x)

-- pointwise application
extend (f:fs) (x:xs) = (f x):(extend fs xs)

-- delays
(x:xs) 'fby' y = x:y
pre x y = x : y

-- sampling
(x : xs) 'when' (True : cs) = (x : (xs 'when' cs))
(x : xs) 'when' (False : cs) = xs 'when' cs

merge (True : c) (x : xs) y = x : (merge c xs y)
merge (False : c) x (y : ys) = y : (merge c x ys)
Recursive Block Diagrams

- Dynamic reconfiguration (i.e., imperative constructs of Esterel) can be encoded as classical tail-recursive functions

- More general (dynamic) networks can be considered such as the Eratosthene sieve, etc.
Extending Synchronous Data-flow

- Synchronous data-flow can be characterized as some class of static bounded memory data-flow networks.
- They are not recursively defined and obey some “synchronous” constraints (*clock calculus)*.
- Those networks enjoy efficient compilation techniques.

Based on Kahn’s relationship between data-flow and stream functions, synchrony can be related to Wadler’s listlessness and deforestation techniques ([Wadler, LFP 84, TCS 90])

- Listlessness is a compilation techniques which eliminates intermediate data-structures from stream programs, e.g., \( \text{hd} (x : y) = x \)
- avoid the need of a lazy evaluation mechanism

Can we extend the class of static synchronous data-flow to higher-order and dynamical networks, thus giving sense to a larger class of synchronous data-flow?
Synchrony and Listlessness evaluation

But deforestation techniques fail to deforest (i.e., diverge) on some simple programs such as `current v (x ‘when‘ c) c` which are trivially accepted in Lustre.

\[(xo : x) ‘when‘ (True : c) = xo : (x ‘when‘ c)\]
\[(xo : x) ‘when‘ (False : c) = x ‘when‘ c\]

`curr v (x0 : x) (True : c) = x0 : (current xo x c)`
`current v x (False : c) = v : (current v x c)`

In **Lustre** syntax: `(current (x when c))`

In **SCADE**: `condact(c; v; id) (where id x = x)`

Existing deforestation techniques at that time (e.g., “A Short Cut to Deforestation” [FPCA 93]) failed to deforest many useful synchronous programs
Clock Constraints and Synchrony

The computation of \((x_n \& x_{2n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is not real-time

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{let } \text{odd } x &= x \text{ when half} \\
\text{let } \text{non_synchronous } x &= x \& (\text{odd } x) \\
\end{align*}
\]

This expression has clock 'a on half, but is used with clock 'a.

Execution with unbounded FIFOs!!!
Synchronous Kahn Networks [ISLIP’95, ICFP’96]

Provide an extension of synchronous data-flow by:

- defining a functional kernel with abstraction, application and recursion and whose first order restriction is reminiscent to Lustre,

- define a synchronous operational semantics for it, generalizing existing ones; this allows us to characterize “synchronous data-flow behaviors”,

- define a clock calculus for this language and express it as a type-system, which, in turn, allows us to generalize it to functional features

Property: well clocked program can be executed synchronously
From Lustre to Lucid Synchrone
(McGill University, Université Paris 6)
A Co-iterative Characterization of Synchronous Stream Functions [CMCS 98]

These first works with Paul showed that it was possible to implement an extension of Lustre and it was of course called Lucid Synchrone

- a small functional kernel (higher-order and recursion)
- a dependent type clock calculus
- causality check was trivial (graph based)
- a partial compilation method: this was the hard part (we failed to make it modular)

We started working on the problem in june 96 (after ICFP), from the work of Jacob & Rutten (pre-version of “A tutorial on (co)algebras and (co)induction”, EATCS Bulletin 97

- find a modular compilation technique for the extended kernel
- explain the classical compilation of SCADE in a few lines
- the method is time resistant: it is still in use in the current compiler
Functional Programming and Reactive Systems

At about the same period, several projects identified the interest of functional (data-flow) programming to model reactive systems.

- Mary Sheeran noticed in 84 the interest of functional programming for describing synchronous circuits in $\mu$FP [LFP 84]
- Various embedding of Hardware Description Languages in Haskell: Lava [Sheeran & all, ICFP 98], Hawk [Launchbury & all, ICFP 99], etc.
- Functional Reactive Programming [Hudak, Petterson, ICFP 99]
- Fran (Functional Reactive Animation) [Elliot & Hudak, ICFP 97]
- Now Multi-stage programming techniques [Taha PhD. 99, etc.], ReFlect (Intel), etc.
Functional Reactive Programming (FRP, FRAN)

- accept too many programs: synchronous and asynchronous
- no guarantee at compile time
- memory leaks, unbounded recursion, etc.
- no compilation (or simply macro-expansion)
- Haskell run-time (but a macro-expansion to C is feasible)
- the type system of Haskell is not sufficient
Synchronous circuits (e.g., Lava)

- very elegant and very well adapted to the design of synchronous circuits
- “two stage” approach: the execution of the program produces a net-list
- length-preserving functions only (circuits with a base clock)
- the type system of Haskell is not sufficient
- no static analysis (e.g., causality analysis) nor modular compilation
Developing a language (sept. 96 –)
(LIP6, Univ. Paris 6 & LRI, Univ. Paris-Sud 11)
Lucid Synchrone

How to extend Lustre in a conservative way (without breaking it)?

Build a “laboratory” language

- study (prototype) extensions of Lustre
- experiment things, manage all the compilation chain and write programs!

Follow a few principles:

- types everywhere
- clock based approach: everything should be explained in term of a basic clocked language
- modularity everywhere (type analysis, separate compilation)
Some developments I

Typing:

- Automatic type inference with polymorphism; various versions (latest [Emsoft ‘04])

Clock calculus: Clocks play a central role both on the semantics side and the implementation side.

- same philosophy as Lustre (differs from the one of Signal)
- clocks as types (provides both polymorphism and inference) making them more usable
- defined as a dependent type system [ICFP 96]
- start of the collaboration with Jean-Louis Colaço (Esterel-Technologies) on the design of a prototype compiler for SCADE (∼ 2000)
- the prototype ReLuC compiler uses the first-order version of this calculus
- programming constructs (e.g., merge)
- then a simpler calculus reminiscent to Milner-type system [Emsoft 2003]
Some developments II

Type-based program analysis:

- initialization analysis (with JL. Colaço, [SLAP 02, STTT 04]
- both implemented in Lucid Synchrone and ReLuC
- greatly reduces the number of false alarms

Mixing imperative construct and data-flow:

- PhD. thesis of G. Hamon [PPDP 00, SLAP 04]
- work with Colaço & Pagano (ET) on the design of the mix of automata and data-flow systems
- translation semantics relying on the clock mechanism [Emsoft 05], direct synchronous semantics [Emsoft 06]
- both implemented in ReLuC and Lucid Synchrone

Recently, we came back to the origins (N-Synchronous Kahn Networks) to relax the semantics to allows non strictly synchronous systems for the implementation of video systems (project with Philips semiconductor, now NXP)
Main results

- Synchronous Kahn networks [ICFP’96]
- Clocks as dependent types [ICFP’96]
- Modular compilation [CMCS’98]
- Control-structures and data-flow [PPDP’00]
- causality analysis [ESOP’01]
- initialization analysis [SLAP’02, STTT’04]
- ML-like clock calculus [Emsoft’03]
- higher-order and typing [Emsoft’04]
- data-flow and state machines [Emsoft’05, Emsoft’06]
- N-Synchronous Kahn Networks [Emsoft’05, POPL’06]
Laboratory language?

Many of these ideas, originally introduce by Paul, are now integrated in two industrial tools of the field.

- the ReLuC compiler of SCADE is based (and improves) techniques introduced in Lucid Synchrone
- same philosophy: types everywhere, modularity, etc.
- typing, clock calculus
- program constructs (e.g., `merge`)
- static analysis (initialization)
- design/semantics of ReLuC (next SCADE)

Athys (Dassault-Systèmes) is developing a programming environment into the Catia suite for PLC:

- basing it on an imperative kernel (reminiscent to Esterel)
- automatic type synthesis (with polymorphism), module systems
Conclusion

This work was initiated in 93 by Paul Caspi and we started our collaboration in sept. 94

- The direction was clearly drawn from the very beginning and only a few things really changed.

- Reformulating synchronous data-flow in the functional setting was very fruitful and many extensions came naturally.

- The language exists and contains most of the features we were looking at at the very beginning.

- The goal to make it a laboratory language succeed.

- Paul had a strong influence in it (simplicity of constructions, orthogonality of concept, unified semantics).